

KATHRYN BURKETT DICKSON, State Bar No. 70636
 DICKSON - ROSS LLP
 1970 Broadway, Suite 1045
 Oakland, CA 94612
 Phone: 510-268-1999
 Fax: 510-268-3627
 E-mail: kbdickson@dicksonross.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Intervenor
 JANET STEGE

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY)	CIVIL ACTION NO. C-07-3944 (SBA)
COMMISSION,)	
)	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF
Plaintiff)	KATHRYN BURKETT DICKSON IN
)	SUPPORT OF MOTION OF JANET
v.)	STEGE FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE
)	
GEORGIA-PACIFIC CORRUGATED,)	
LLC,)	Date: April 1, 2008
)	Time: 1:00 p.m.
Defendant.)	Courtroom: 3, 3 rd Fl. (Oakland)
)	Judge: Hon. Sandra B. Armstrong

I, Kathryn Burkett Dickson, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and before this Court. I submit this Supplemental Declaration in Support of the Motion of Janet Stege for Leave to Intervene in the above case. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and am competent to testify to them.

2. In conjunction with the filing of Ms. Stege's motion to intervene, Plaintiff submitted a proposed Complaint in Intervention, which was attached to my original declaration in support of the motion. In its opposition to the intervention motion, Defendant argues that the only proper Defendant, and the only Defendant who employed Ms. Stege, was Georgia-Pacific Corrugated, LLC, and that Georgia-Pacific Holdings, LLC did not employ her and is not a proper Defendant in this action. Def. Opp. at 15-21 & accompanying declarations.

3. Since the filing of the motion to intervene, Georgia-Pacific and the EEOC have entered into a stipulation and the Court has issued an Order permitting the EEOC to file an Amended Complaint naming Georgia-Pacific Corrugated LLC as the Defendant in this action.

4. Based upon Georgia-Pacific's representations in its opposition, as well as the EEOC's and Georgia-Pacific's stipulation and the Court Order regarding the Amended Complaint, which names only Georgia-Pacific Corrugated, LLC as Defendant, Plaintiff agrees to name as Defendant only Georgia-Pacific Corrugated, LLC in her proposed Complaint in Intervention.

4. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the revised Complaint in Intervention that Ms. Stege requests permission to file.

5. Since the filing of the motion to intervene, the parties have scheduled Ms. Stege's deposition for early April and have conducted a pre-mediation conference call with the Court-appointed mediator, Jamie Dupree. The Court-ordered mediation in this matter is set for April 24, 2008.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. This Declaration was signed in Oakland, California on March 18, 2008.

/s/ Kathryn Burkett Dickson
Kathryn Burkett Dickson

Exhibit A

KATHRYN BURKETT DICKSON, State Bar No. 70636
 DICKSON - ROSS LLP
 1970 Broadway, Suite 1045
 Oakland, CA 94612
 Phone: 510-268-1999
 Fax: 510-268-3627
 E-mail: kbdickson@dicksonross.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Intervenor
 JANET STEGE

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY
 COMMISSION,

Plaintiff

JANET STEGE,

Plaintiff/Intervenor

v.

GEORGIA-PACIFIC CORRUGATED,
 LLC,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO. C-07-3944 (SBA)

**COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION FOR
 DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION AND
 FAILURE TO ACCOMMODATE**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Date: April 1, 2008
 Time: 1:00 p.m.
 Courtroom: 3, 3rd Fl. (Oakland)
 Judge: Hon. Sandra B. Armstrong

Plaintiff/Intervenor Janet Stege complains and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction to hear this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1331 because this action arises under the law of the United States, including 42 U.S.C. §§ 12112, 2117 and 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(1). Plaintiff/Intervenor Janet Stege ("Ms. Stege") has the right to intervene in this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(1).

2. The claims involved in this action arose in the Northern District of California, in that the events described herein occurred in this judicial district. Plaintiff/Intervenor is

1 informed and believes that Defendant Georgia-Pacific Corrugated, LLC owns and operates
2 facilities within this District in which Ms. Stege has been employed.

3 3. This Court has pendent and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff/Intervenor's
4 claims brought pursuant to the California Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA")
5 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. Compensatory and punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs,
6 as well as injunctive and equitable relief are sought pursuant to both the federal ADA and the
7 California FEHA provisions providing for such remedies.

8 INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

9 4. This action is appropriate for assignment to San Francisco/Oakland because the
10 unlawful employment practices alleged were and are being committed in San Mateo and/or
11 Alameda Counties, and the relevant facilities owned and operated by Defendant are located in
12 those two Counties, which are within the San Francisco/Oakland District.

13 PARTIES

14 5. Plaintiff/Intervenor Janet Stege is a natural person who resides within this
15 judicial district.

16 6. Plaintiff/Intervenor Janet Stege is informed and believes and thereon alleges that
17 Defendant Georgia-Pacific Corrugated LLC is a corporation authorized to do business and was
18 or is doing business in the State of California, Counties of San Mateo and/or Alameda, and has
19 continuously employed at least 15 persons.

20 7. Plaintiff Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC" or
21 "Commission") is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration,
22 interpretation, and enforcement of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") and Title VII
23 of the Civil Rights Act.

24 8. At all relevant times, Defendant has been an employer covered by the ADA, 42
25 U.S.C. 12111(2) & (5) and FEHA, Cal. Gov't Code §12926(d) and 12940.

26 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

27 9. Plaintiff/Intervenor Stege has worked for Georgia-Pacific for nearly twenty
28

1 years. She is currently employed in Georgia Pacific's San Leandro, California plant.

2 10. Starting in approximately 1998, while working at the company's South San
3 Francisco plant, Ms. Stege required a work schedule accommodation because of her diagnosed
4 medical condition of fibromyalgia, a permanent medical condition that causes deep muscle
5 pain, severe headaches, and fatigue. Fibromyalgia is a disability covered by both federal and
6 state law in that it substantially limits one or more major life activities.

7 11. The accommodations which involved no assignment to the night shift, no more
8 than 40 hours of work per week, and two consecutive days off per week, were necessary and
9 were based on Ms. Stege's doctor's recommendation, because they allowed Ms. Stege to rest
10 her muscles and recover before returning to work. Without sufficient rest, Ms. Stege's muscle
11 pain and fatigue become exacerbated. These accommodations were provided until early 2004.

12 12. In April 2004, Ms. Stege was transferred to the company's San Leandro facility.
13 She was informed at about that time that the company would no longer make any
14 accommodation to her work schedule. Ms. Stege protested the company's refusal to provide
15 her continuing accommodations.

16 13. Ms. Stege has attempted to work under the conditions imposed by her employer
17 and the extra work has exacerbated her condition, creating additional physical and emotional
18 suffering, and necessitating additional time off work.

19 14. Ms. Stege is able to perform the essential functions of her job with reasonable
20 accommodation. Since April 2004, Defendant has refused and is continuing to refuse to
21 provide her with the reasonable accommodation of not requiring overtime and the other
22 accommodations described above in paragraph 11.

23 15. Ms. Stege has suffered physically, emotionally, and financially as a result of
24 Defendant's discrimination against and failure to accommodate her.

25 16. Defendant's actions in discriminating against Ms. Stege and in failing to
26 accommodate her were done intentionally, with malice, and in reckless and/or conscious
27 disregard of Ms. Stege's federally and state protected rights.

17. Within the time allowed by law, Ms. Stege filed a charge of discrimination with the EEOC. The charges were also filed with the DFEH pursuant to a work-sharing agreement between the state and federal agencies. Ms. Stege received a right to sue from the DFEH which was tolled pending the EEOC's investigation, efforts at conciliation, and during the pendency of this action which was timely filed by the EEOC. Thus, all conditions precedent to the filing of this action have been fulfilled.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act)

18. The preceding allegations are re-alleged and incorporated here by reference.

19. Defendant engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of provisions of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12112(a) & (b)(5)(A) by discriminating against Ms. Stege and failing to provide her with reasonable accommodation for her disability, fibromyalgia.

20. In engaging in the conduct described above, Defendant has deprived Ms. Stege of equal employment opportunities and has otherwise adversely affected her status as an employee because of her disability.

21. Defendant engaged in the conduct described above intentionally, with malice, and in reckless and/or conscious disregard of Ms. Stege's federally protected rights.

22. Wherefore, Ms. Stege seeks the relief listed below.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act)

23. The preceding allegations are re-alleged and incorporated here by reference.

24. Defendant engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of provisions of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Cal. Gov't Code §§ 12926 & 12940, by discriminating against Ms. Stege, failing to provide her with reasonable accommodation for her disability, fibromyalgia, and failing to take all steps reasonably necessary to prevent disability discrimination from occurring.

25. In engaging in the conduct described above, Defendant has deprived Ms. Stege

1 of equal employment opportunities and have otherwise adversely affected her status as an
2 employee because of her disability.

3 26. Defendant engaged in the conduct described above intentionally, with malice,
4 and in conscious disregard of Ms. Stege's protected rights under state law.

5 27. Wherefore, Ms. Stege seeks the relief listed below.

6 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

7 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff/Intervenor Janet Stege prays for judgment in her favor and
8 against Defendant as follows:

9 1. For compensatory damages, including loss of wages and benefits, according to
10 proof;

11 2. For mental and emotional distress, according to proof;

12 3. For punitive and exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish and deter
13 Defendant, according to proof;

14 4. For an award of interest, including prejudgment interest at the legal rate;

15 5. For injunctive and equitable relief enjoining Defendant from violating
16 Plaintiff/Intervenor's rights to work free of disability discrimination and requiring Defendant to
17 provide reasonable accommodation as required by law;

18 6. For injunctive and equitable relief requiring Defendant to establish and
19 implement policies, training, and procedures to ensure that Defendant's managers, supervisors
20 and other relevant employees fulfill their legal obligations to ensure that discrimination against
21 employees with disabilities does not occur and to ensure that such employees are provided the
22 reasonable accommodations with the law mandates.

23 7. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs as provided by 42 U.S.C. section
24 2000e-5(k) and California Government Code section 12965.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

1 8. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

2
3 Dated: March 18, 2008

DICKSON - ROSS LLP

4
5 By: /S/ Kathryn Burkett Dickson
6 Kathryn Burkett Dickson
7 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Intervenor
8 JANET STEGE

9 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

10 Plaintiff/Intervenor Janet Stege hereby demands a jury trial on her federal and state
11 claims as authorized by law.

12
13 Dated: March 18, 2008

DICKSON - ROSS LLP

14
15 By: /S/ Kathryn Burkett Dickson
16 Kathryn Burkett Dickson
17 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Intervenor
18 JANET STEGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28